

Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups

Rohan Gupta

*A dissertation submitted for the partial fulfilment
of BS-MS dual degree in Science*



Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali
April 2017

Certificate of Examination

This is to certify that the dissertation titled **Galois groups and Fundamental groups** submitted by **Rohan Gupta** (Reg. No. MS12035) for the partial fulfillment of BS-MS dual degree programme of the Institute, has been examined by the thesis committee duly appointed by the Institute. The committee finds the work done by the candidate satisfactory and recommends that the report be accepted.

Dr. Chetan Tukaram Balwe

Dr. Chandrakant S. Aribam

Dr. Varadharaj R.
Srinivasan
(Supervisor)

Dated: April 20, 2017

Declaration

The work presented in this dissertation has been carried out by me under the guidance of Dr. Varadharaj R. Srinivasan at the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali.

This work has not been submitted in part or in full for a degree, a diploma, or a fellowship to any other university or institute. This work is based on the book “Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups” authored by Tamás Szamuely([Sza]). Whenever contributions of others are involved, every effort is made to indicate this clearly, with due acknowledgement of collaborative research and discussions. This thesis is a bonafide record of work done by me and all sources listed within have been detailed in the bibliography.

Rohan Gupta
(Candidate)

Dated: April 20, 2017

In my capacity as the supervisor of the candidates project work, I certify that the above statements by the candidate are true to the best of my knowledge.

Dr. Varadharaj R. Srinivasan
(Supervisor)

Acknowledgment

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my adviser Dr. Varadharaj R. Srinivasan for his support and motivation to carry out my MS thesis. His guidance has helped me throughout this project and I am extremely thankful to him for this opportunity and steering me in the right direction. I would also like to thank my committee members Dr. Chandrakant S. Aribam and Dr. Chetan Balwe for their insightful comments and questions.

I would also like to thank my batch mates who improved my understanding by helpful discussions.

Finally, I would like to thank my friends and family and most importantly my parents who continuously encouraged me and have guided me through life.

Abstract

In this thesis, I will discuss the first three chapters of the “Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups” by Tamas Szamuely([Sza]).

Chapter 1 deals with basics of field theory, Galois theory and contains an introduction to Etale algebras. We will prove the categorical anti-equivalence of continuous left $Gal(k)$ -sets with finite etale algebras over k . Chapter 2 deals with certain results from algebraic topology using which we obtain a categorical equivalence between category of left- $\pi_1(X, x)$ sets and category of covers of X . In Chapter 3 study Riemann surfaces and holomorphic map. The covers over Riemann surfaces create a link between field theory and theory of covers. We show that the category of finite covers of X outside a finite discrete set of points is equivalent to the category of Riemann surfaces equipped with holomorphic maps onto X . Further, in this chapter, we establish that every finite group occurs as Galois group of some finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

Contents

Abstract	i
1 Field Theory and Galois Theory	1
1.1 Algebraic Field Extensions	1
1.2 Separable Extensions	4
1.3 Prerequisites on Galois Extensions	6
1.4 Infinite Galois Extensions	8
1.5 Finite Etale Algebras	11
2 Fundamental Groups in Topology	15
2.1 Covers	15
2.2 Galois Covers	17
2.3 The Monodromy Action	20
2.4 Locally constant sheaves and their classification	25
2.5 Local systems	28
3 Riemann surfaces	31
3.1 Basics	31
3.2 Important facts about Riemann surfaces and Holomorphic maps	32
3.3 Relation with field Theory	34

3.4 The absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{C}(t)$	38
Bibliography	43

[section] [section]

Chapter 1

Field Theory and Galois Theory

There is a strong analogy between the Galois Group and the Fundamental Group. In Galois theory, we talk about the automorphisms of separable closures of the base field and for differential equations the analogous role is played by universal cover of the base domain. So to understand this analogy we start out with some basics of Galois Theory of fields, Infinite Galois theory and then introduce the notion of etale algebra to establish the Grothendieck Formulation of Galois Theory.

1.1 Algebraic Field Extensions

Definition 1.1.1. *Let k be a field. An extension $L|k$ is called algebraic if every element of L is a root of some polynomial in $k[x]$. This polynomial is said to be minimal if it is monic and irreducible. A field \bar{k} is called algebraically closed if it has no algebraic extensions other than itself. An algebraic closure of a field k is an algebraic extension which is algebraically closed.*

Remark 1.1. *A finite extension L of k is algebraic over k . If $L = k[\alpha]$, $\alpha \in L$ and f is the minimal polynomial of α , then $[L : k] = \deg(f)$.*

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let k be a field. Then there exists an algebraically closed field which contains k as a sub field.*

Proof. We first construct a field $L_1|k$ such that every polynomial in $k[x]$ of degree ≥ 1 has a root. Now, for every polynomial $f \in k[x]$, associate a set X_f and let S be

the set of all such X_f (The set S and the set of polynomials in $k[x]$ are in bijection). Now form the polynomial ring $k[S]$. Claim that the ideal generated by $\langle f(X_f) \rangle$ is proper. If not, then $1 \in \langle f(X_f) \rangle$ and there exists function $g_i \in k[S]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i f_i(X_{f_i}) = 1$, denote each X_{f_i} by X_i and g_i is a polynomial in finite number of variables X_1, \dots, X_N such that $N \geq n$. So we have $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(X_1, \dots, X_N) f_i(X_i) = 1$. Let F be the splitting field of polynomials f_1, \dots, f_n and say α_i is a root of f_i and let $\alpha_i = 0$ for $i > n$. So evaluating above equation at these points, we get

$$\sum g_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 0, \dots, 0) f_i(\alpha_i) = 1$$

$\implies 0 = 1$. Hence a contradiction. So the ideal $\langle f(X_f) \rangle$ is proper and is contained in a maximal ideal say M . So we have the field $L_1 = k[S]/M$ containing k by the embedding $\sigma : k \rightarrow k[S]/M$ given by $a \mapsto a + m$. Every polynomial $f \in k[x]$ has a root in L_1 which is x_f because $f(x_f) \in \langle f(X_f) \rangle \subseteq M$. Similarly we construct a field L_2 over L_1 , such that every polynomial $f \in L_1[x]$ has a root in L_2 and so on. Then we get a tower of field extensions $k \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq L_n \subseteq L_{n+1} \dots$. Let $L = \bigcup L_i$, $k \subset L$. Coefficients of a polynomial $h(x) \in L[x]$ are coming from some L_n for some large n . So $h(x)$ has a root in L_{n+1} and hence a root in L . So L is algebraically closed. \square

Corollary 1.1.1. *Let k be a field. There exists an extension \bar{k} which is algebraic over k and algebraically closed.*

Proof. Let E be an algebraically closed field containing k as a sub field. Let \bar{k} be the union of sub fields of E which are algebraic over k . So \bar{k} is algebraic over k . Now we claim that if $\alpha \in E$ and α is algebraic over \bar{k} , then α is algebraic over k . To see this, consider a finite tower of field extensions $k \subset F \subset E$ such that $E|F$ is algebraic and $F|k$ is also algebraic. Let $\alpha \in E$ be a root of some polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$, there exist $a'_i \in F$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^n a'_i \alpha^i = 0$. Let $F_0 = k(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ and α is algebraic over F_0 . $\implies k \subset k(a_0, \dots, a_n) \subset F_0(\alpha)$ such that $[F_0(\alpha) : F_0] < \infty$ and $[F_0 : k] < \infty$. So $[F_0(\alpha) : k] < \infty$ i.e α is algebraic over k and our claim follows.

Let $f \in \bar{k}[x] \subset E[x]$, then there exist $\alpha \in E$ which is a root of f which implies that α is algebraic over \bar{k} which further implies α is algebraic over k and hence $k(\alpha)$ is algebraic over k . Then $k(\alpha) \subseteq \bar{k}$, so $\alpha \in \bar{k}$. Hence \bar{k} is algebraically closed and is algebraic closure of k . \square

Proposition 1.1.1. *Let $\sigma : k \rightarrow L$ be an embedding of k into an algebraically closed field L . The number of extensions of σ from k to $k(\alpha)$ is \leq the number of roots of*

minimal polynomial of α but is equal to number of distinct roots of minimal polynomial of α .

Proof. Let k be the base field. $\sigma : k \rightarrow L$ be an embedding of k into an algebraically closed field L . We want to extend σ to an embedding of an algebraic extension E of k into L . Lets consider the case when $E = k(\alpha)$, and $k(\alpha)$ is an algebraic extension.

Let $p(x)$ be the monic irreducible polynomial of α . Let β be the root of σp in L . We know that $k(\alpha) = k[\alpha]$, so every element of $k(\alpha)$ can be written in the form of $f(\alpha)$ for some $f \in k[x]$. Define an extension of σ by $f(\alpha) \mapsto \sigma f(\beta)$. The map is well defined because for some $g(x) \in k[x]$ such that $g(\alpha) = f(\alpha)$ which implies $(g - f)(\alpha) = 0$ which means that $p(x)$ divides $g(x) - f(x)$ that further implies $\sigma p(x)$ divides $\sigma g(x) - \sigma f(x)$. So $\sigma g(\beta) = \sigma f(\beta)$. Hence the extension of σ defined above is an extension to $k(\alpha)$. The number of such extensions depend on the degree of $p(x)$. \square

Theorem 1.1.2. *Let k be a field and E be an algebraic extension of k , and $\sigma : k \rightarrow L$ be an embedding of E in L . If E is algebraically closed and L is algebraic over σk , then any such extension σ is an isomorphism of E onto L .*

Proof. Let S be the set of all pairs (F, τ) where $F \subset E$ containing k and τ is an extension of σ to an embedding of F into L . Define ordering on S as follows: If (F, τ) and $(F', \tau') \in S$, then $(F, \tau) \leq (F', \tau')$ if $F \subset F'$ and $\tau'|_F = \tau$.

The set S is non-empty as $(k, \sigma) \in S$. Assume that $\{(F_i, \tau_i)\}$ is a totally ordered subset of S , let F be the union of F_i 's and define $\tau|_{F_i}$ to be equal to τ_i for each F_i . Then the element (F, τ) is an upper bound of the totally ordered subset. It has a maximal element in S by Zorn's lemma. Say (M, λ) where λ is an extension of σ .

Now we show that $M = E$. Otherwise, there exists $\alpha \in E - M$ such that λ has an extension to $M(\alpha)$ which contradicts the maximality of (M, λ) . This shows that there exists an extension of σ to E which is nothing but λ .

If E is algebraically closed then so is σE because all the polynomials in $\sigma E[x]$ will have a root in σE which will come from the image under σ of the root of polynomial in E . And if L is algebraic over σk , then L is algebraic over σE . This shows that σ is an isomorphism of E onto L that is, $\sigma E = L$. \square

1.2 Separable Extensions

Let E be an algebraic extension of a field F and $\sigma : F \rightarrow L$ be an embedding of F into an algebraically closed field L . We can extend σ to an embedding of E into L . We assume that L is algebraic over σF and since it is algebraically closed it must be an algebraic closure of σF . Let S_σ denote the set of extensions of the embedding σ to an embedding of E into L .

Assume L' be another algebraically closed field and let there be an embedding of F , $\tau : F \rightarrow L'$ into L' . Just as done before, L' is the algebraic closure of τF . We know there exists an isomorphism between two algebraically closed fields of k . Let $\lambda : L \rightarrow L'$ be an isomorphism. Let S_τ be the set of extensions of τ to an embedding of E into L' . $\lambda : L \rightarrow L'$ is an extension of $\tau \circ \sigma^{-1}$ applied to σF .

Let $\sigma' \in S_\sigma$, extending σ to an embedding of E into L . Then $\lambda \circ \sigma'$ is an extension of τ to an embedding of E into L' because the restriction of $\lambda \circ \sigma'$ to F is equal to $\tau \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma = \tau$. Hence, $\lambda \circ \sigma'$ is an extension of τ . λ induces a map between S_σ and S_τ given by $\sigma' \mapsto \lambda \circ \sigma'$ and an inverse map is given by λ^{-1} . Hence there is a bijection between the sets S_σ and S_τ having the same cardinality. This cardinality is called as separable degree and we denote it be $[E : F]_s$.

Theorem 1.2.1. *For a tower $k \subset F \subset E$, $[E : k]_s = [E : F]_s [F : k]_s$. $[E : k]_s$ is finite and $[E : k]_s \leq [E : k]$ only when E is finite extension of k .*

Proof. Let L be an algebraically closed field and $\sigma : k \rightarrow L$ be an embedding of k into L . Let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the family of distinct extensions of σ to an embedding of F into L . We have seen that each σ_i has only $[E : F]_s$ many extensions to an embedding of E into L . By a simple counting argument, we can say that the number of elements of the set of embeddings τ_{ij} are $[E : F]_s [F : k]_s$. So any embedding of E into L must be one of the τ_{ij} . Hence we have the result $[E : k]_s = [E : F]_s [F : k]_s$. For the second part of the theorem, assume that $E|k$ is a finite extension generated by $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$. Then we have the tower: $k \subset k(\alpha_1) \subset k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \subset \dots \subset k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r)$. Let $F_0 = k$ and $F_{t+1} = F_t(\alpha_{t+1})$. $[F_t(\alpha_{t+1}) : F_t]_s$ represents the number of extensions of $\sigma : F_t \rightarrow L$ (L is some algebraically closed field) to an embedding of $F_t(\alpha_{t+1})$ into L . By Proposition 1.1.1, $[F_t(\alpha_{t+1}) : F_t]_s \leq [F_t(\alpha_{t+1}) : F_t]$ and by multiplicativity of tower of field extensions, $[E : k]_s \leq [E : k]$. \square

The following result follows immediately

Corollary 1.2.1. *Given a tower $k \subset M \subset L$ of finite field extensions, the extension $L|k$ is separable if and only if $L|M$ and $M|k$ are separable.*

Corollary 1.2.2. *A finite extension $L|k$ is separable if and only if $L = k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ for some separable elements $\alpha_i \in L$.*

Proof. Let L be separable over k and $\alpha \in L$. Consider $k \subset k(\alpha) \subset L$. By previous result, we have $[k(\alpha) : k]_s = [k(\alpha) : k]$. By definition this means that α is separable over k . We have finite number of α_i 's such that we have a tower, $k \subset k(\alpha_1) \subset k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \dots, \subset k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$. So each α_i is separable over k .

Conversely, consider the tower $k \subset k(\alpha_1) \subset k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \dots, \subset k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$. Each α_i is separable over $k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})$ because the minimal polynomial of each α_i has distinct roots over k . Which means that $[k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})]_s = [k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i) : k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. By multiplicativity of towers, $[L : k]_s = [L : k]$ and hence $L|k$ is a finite separable extension. \square

Definition 1.2.1. *E is a separable extension of the base field k if and only if $[E : k]_s = [E : k]$. An algebraic element a over k is called separable over k if $k(a)$ is a separable extension of k . Equivalently we can say that if the minimal polynomial of α has no multiple roots then α is separable. A polynomial $f \in k[x]$ is separable if it has no multiple roots. If $k \subset F \subset E$ and $\alpha \in E$ is separable over k , then α is separable over F .*

Definition 1.2.2. *Let E, F be field extensions of k contained in some algebraic closure \bar{k} of k . The smallest sub-field of the algebraic closure \bar{k} , which contains E as well as F is denoted by EF and is called compositum of E and F in \bar{k} .*

Corollary 1.2.3. *If L, M are finite separable extensions of k , then their compositum is separable as well.*

Proof. Since LM is the smallest sub field of \bar{k} containing both L and M , we have finitely many α 's in L such that $LM = M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$. Each α_i is separable over k (because L is separable over k), so each α_i is separable over M . So $LM|M$ is a separable extension. Also $M|k$ is separable, so $LM|k$ is a separable extension. \square

Definition 1.2.3. *Compositum of all finite separable extensions of k in \bar{k} is called separable closure and is denoted by k_s .*

Theorem 1.2.2. Primitive Element Theorem *A finite separable extension can be generated by single element.*

Proof. [Lanon], Chapter 5, Theorem 4.6 □

1.3 Prerequisites on Galois Extensions

Let L be a finite field extension of k , the group of field automorphisms of L that fixes the elements of k is denoted by $\text{Aut}(L|k)$.

Definition 1.3.1. *Let L be an algebraic field extension of k . L is called a Galois extension of k if under the action of $\text{Aut}(L|k)$, the elements of L that remain fixed are exactly the elements of k . In this case, we denote $\text{Aut}(L|k)$ by $\text{Gal}(L|k)$ which is called the Galois group of $L|k$.*

Lemma 1.3.1. *$k_s|k$ is a Galois extension where k_s denotes the separable closure of k .*

Proof. We need to verify that every element $\alpha \in k_s$ such that $\alpha \notin k$ is not fixed by all automorphisms $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(k_s|k)$ (or alternatively we can say that it is moved by some automorphism). Let f be the minimal polynomial of α and α' in k_s be another root of f . There is an isomorphism of field extensions $k(\alpha)$ and $k(\alpha')$, $k(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} k(\alpha')$ given by the map $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'$. By a previous result, we know that this isomorphism can be extended to an automorphism of algebraic closure \bar{k} of k . Now we only have to check the fact that each element of $\text{Aut}(\bar{k}|k)$ maps the separable extension k_s onto itself. This is indeed true because this automorphism sends an element γ of \bar{k} to another root γ' of its minimal polynomial, and if γ is separable then its minimal polynomial is separable by definition which implies that γ' is separable. We call $\text{Gal}(k_s|k)$ the absolute Galois group of k . □

Proposition 1.3.1. *Let k be a field, k_s a separable closure and $L \subset k_s$ a sub-field containing k . The following are equivalent:*

1. *The extension $L|k$ is Galois.*
2. *The minimal polynomial over k of each $\alpha \in L$ splits into linear factors in L .*
3. *Each automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s|k)$ satisfies $\sigma(L) \subset L$.*

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$

Let $p(x) \in k[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of $\alpha \in L$ and let the distinct elements of the set $\sigma(\alpha) : \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L|k)$ be $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Define $g(x) \in L[x]$ as $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i)$.

Now each $\sigma \in G$ permutes α_i , so each σ fixes the coefficients of $g(x)$. Hence, $g(x) \in k[x]$ with no repeated roots.

$p(x)$ and $g(x)$ have a common root in L i.e α . So $g(x)$ must divide $p(x)$, but $p(x)$ is irreducible. Hence $p(x)=g(x)$. So $p(x)$ is separable as it has no repeated roots and hence it splits into linear factors in L .

$2 \Rightarrow 3$

Each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s|k)$ must map $\alpha \in L$ to a root of its minimal polynomial. $\Rightarrow \sigma(L) \subset L$ because minimal polynomial of each α over k splits into linear factors in L .

$3 \Rightarrow 1$

Pick $\alpha \in L - k$. Since k_s is Galois over k , Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_s|k)$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) \neq \alpha$. By (3), $\sigma(L) \subset L$ which means that $\sigma|_L \in \text{Aut}(L|k)$ such that $\sigma|_L(\alpha) \neq \alpha$. \square

Theorem 1.3.1. Main theorem for finite extensions:

Let $L|k$ be a finite Galois extension with Galois group G . The maps $M \mapsto H := \text{Aut}(L|M)$ and $H \mapsto M := L^H$ yielding an inclusion reversing bijection between sub fields $L \supset M \supset k$ and subgroups $H \subset G$. The extension $L|M$ is always Galois. The extension $M|k$ is Galois iff H is normal subgroup of G , in this case we have $\text{Gal}(M|k) \cong G/H$.

Lemma 1.3.2. *A finite extension $L|k$ is Galois if and only if it is the splitting field of an irreducible separable polynomial $f \in k[x]$.*

Proof. If L is the Splitting field of an irreducible separable polynomial then $L = k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ such that $f = \prod (x - \alpha_i)$ and without multiple roots. So, for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_s|k)$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$. Hence by previous proposition, $L|k$ is Galois extension. Conversely, if $L|k$ is Galois, then part (2) of the previous proposition implies that L is the splitting field of a primitive element generating L over k . \square

Corollary 1.3.1. *A finite extension $L|k$ is Galois with group $G = \text{Aut}(L|k)$ if and only if G has order $[L:k]$.*

Proof. If $L|k$ is Galois, then L is the splitting field of an irreducible separable polynomial $f \in k[x]$. Then order of G is $|Aut(k(\alpha)|k)|$ where α is a primitive element and $L = k(\alpha)$. Since f is separable, $|G| = [L : k]$. Conversely, suppose $G = Aut(L|k)$, the extension $L|L^G$ is Galois by definition so G has order $[L : L^G] = [L : k]$. Hence $L^G = k$. \square

1.4 Infinite Galois Extensions

The main problem that arises for infinite field extensions is that it is no longer true that all subgroups of Galois group arise as the subgroup fixing some sub extension $M|k$. Let $K|k$ be a infinite Galois extension, we first observe that K is a union of finite Galois extensions.

Lemma 1.4.1. *Each finite sub extension of $K|k$ can be embedded in a Galois sub extension.*

(This is because each finite separable sub extension is of the form $k(\alpha)$ with an appropriate element α . We can embed $k(\alpha)$ in the splitting field of minimal polynomial of α , which is finite Galois extension over k .)

Construction 1.1. *An inverse system of groups $(G_\alpha, \phi_{\alpha\beta})$ consists of: \cdot a partially ordered set (Λ, \leq) which is directed in the sense that for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$ with $\alpha \leq \gamma, \beta \leq \gamma$; \cdot for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, a group G_α ;*

\cdot for each $\alpha \leq \beta$ a homomorphism $\phi_{\alpha\beta} : G_\beta \rightarrow G_\alpha$ such that we have equalities $\phi_{\alpha\gamma} = \phi_{\alpha\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta\gamma}$ for $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$.

The inverse limit of the system is defined as the subgroup the direct product $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ consisting of sequences (g_α) such that $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(g_\beta) = g_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$. It is denoted by $\varprojlim G_\alpha$.

Definition 1.4.1. *A profinite group is defined to be the inverse limit of a system of finite groups.*

Example 1.1. *A sequence of integers satisfying $x_n \equiv x_{n-1} \pmod{p^n}$ determines an object called p -adic integer. Consider the sequence $Z/pZ \xleftarrow{\lambda_1} Z/p^2Z \xleftarrow{\lambda_2} \dots Z/p^nZ \xleftarrow{\lambda_n} \dots$ such that $\lambda_n(\bar{s}_{n+1}) = \bar{s}_n$. The ring of p -adic integers is the projective limit $\varprojlim Z/p^nZ$ of (A_n, λ_n) where $A_n = Z/p^nZ$.*

Proposition 1.4.1. *Let $K|k$ be an arbitrary Galois extension of fields. The Galois group of finite sub extensions of $K|k$ together with the homeomorphism $\phi_{ML} : \text{Gal}(M|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L|k)$ form an inverse system whose inverse limit is isomorphic to $\text{Gal}(K|k)$. In particular, $\text{Gal}(K|k)$ is a profinite group.*

Proof. Let $I = \{L \mid k \subseteq L \subseteq K, L|k \text{ is a finite Galois extension}\}$. Define partial ordering on I as: for $L_1, L_2 \in I$, $L_1 \leq L_2$ iff $L_1 \subseteq L_2$. Moreover, $\{\text{Gal}(L|k), \phi_{L_i L_j}\}_{L, L_i, L_j \in I}$ form an inverse system with homomorphism $\phi_{L_1 L_2} : \text{Gal}(L_2|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L_1|k)$ given by $\phi_{L_1 L_2}(\sigma) = \sigma|_{L_1}$. The map is well defined: Take $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_2|k)$. Then since $L_2|L_1$ is a Galois extension, then $\sigma(L_1) \subset L_1$. Consider the homomorphism $\chi : \text{Gal}(K|k) \rightarrow \varprojlim \text{Gal}(L|k)$ given by $\sigma \mapsto \{\sigma|_L\}_{L \in I}$.

Injectivity: Let $\sigma \in \ker(\chi)$ and $\chi(\sigma) = \{1_L\}_{L \in I} \implies \sigma|_L = 1_L, \forall L \in I$. Since $K = \bigcup_{L \in I} L$, we have $\sigma = 1_k$.

Surjectivity- Take $\{\sigma_L\}_{L \in I} \in \varprojlim \text{Gal}(L|k)$. Let $\alpha \in K$. Then $\exists L \in I$ such that $\alpha \in L$. Define $\sigma(\alpha) = \sigma_L(\alpha)$. This definition is well defined due to the fact that σ_L forms a compatible system of automorphisms, i.e for $L_1, L_2 \in I$, $L_1 \subseteq L_2$, then $\sigma_{L_1}(\alpha) = \sigma_{L_2}(\alpha)$. So we have $\chi(\sigma) = \{\sigma_L\}_{L \in I}$. Hence surjectivity follows and χ is an isomorphism. So, $\text{Gal}(K|k)$ is a profinite group. \square

Now we define Krull topology on profinite group. Let $G = \varprojlim G_\alpha$ be a profinite group. Each G_α is endowed with the discrete topology, $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ is endowed with the product topology and finally $G \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ is given the subspace topology. It follows from this construction that the natural projection maps $G \rightarrow G_\alpha$ are continuous. Under this topology, the profinite group becomes a topological group which is defined as follows

A group is called topological if the operations $p : G \times G \rightarrow G$ given by $p(g, h) = gh$ and $i : G \rightarrow G$ given by $i(g) = g^{-1}$ are continuous in the defined topology. Consider $\Pi_\alpha : \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha \rightarrow G_\alpha$. Π_α is continuous. $G \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ where the subspace topology is generated by the open sets $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \{\pi_\alpha^{-1}(\{g_\alpha\}) \mid g_\alpha \in G_\alpha\}$. It is enough to check that inverse images of one of these open sets under the above defined maps p and i are open.

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{-1}(\Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{g_{\alpha}\})) &= p^{-1}(\Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{g_{\alpha}\}.h.h^{-1})) \\
&= p^{-1}(\Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{g_{\alpha}.h\}) \circ \Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{h^{-1}\})) \\
&= \bigcup_{h \in G_{\alpha}} (\Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{g_{\alpha}.h\}) \times \Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{h^{-1}\}))
\end{aligned}$$

which is open. Continuity of p follows because the inverse image of a sub-basic open set is open under p . $i : G \rightarrow G$ is given by $i(g) = g^{-1}$. $i^{-1}(\Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{g_{\alpha}\})) = (\Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{g_{\alpha}\}))^{-1} = \Pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\{g_{\alpha}^{-1}\})$ which is open. Hence i is open.

Lemma 1.4.2. $G = \varprojlim G_{\alpha}$ is a closed topological subgroup of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_{\alpha}$.

Proof. Let $g = (g_{\alpha}) \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_{\alpha}$. If $g \notin \varprojlim G_{\alpha}$, we have to show that it has an open neighbourhood which does not meet $\varprojlim G_{\alpha}$. By assumption, for some $\beta, \gamma \in \Lambda$, $\phi_{\beta\gamma}(g_{\gamma}) \neq g_{\beta}$. Since G_{α} is Hausdorff, so is $\prod G_{\alpha}$. Choose open and disjoint neighbourhoods U and V of $\phi_{\beta\gamma}$ and g_{β} in G_{β} respectively. Let U' be the neighbourhood of g_{γ} in G_{γ} such that $\phi_{\beta\gamma}(U') \subseteq U$. Consider an open set $W = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_{\alpha}$ of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_{\alpha}$, where $V_{\gamma} = U'$, $V_{\beta} = V$ and $V_{\alpha} = G_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \neq \gamma, \beta$. Then W is the open neighbourhood of (g_{α}) disjoint from G . \square

Corollary 1.4.1. A profinite group is compact and totally disconnected (Only connected subsets are one-point subsets). Moreover, the open subgroups are precisely the closed subgroups of finite index.

Proof. Each G_{α} is a finite group with discrete topology \implies Each G_{α} is compact. By Tikhonov's theorem, $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_{\alpha}$ is compact. Since closed subspaces of compact spaces are compact, $G = \varprojlim G_{\alpha}$ is compact. G_{α} is Hausdorff and totally disconnected, so $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_{\alpha}$ is totally disconnected. G is compact and totally disconnected. Since G is a topological group, for any open subgroup U of G , $U \mapsto gU$ is a homeomorphism. So $G - U = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} gU$ is open, hence $G \setminus U$ is closed. Since G is compact, these cosets must be finite in number. Conversely, a closed subgroup of finite index is open because if U is a closed subset of G , then $U = G - \bigsqcup_{g \in G} gU$, so U is open. \square

Theorem 1.4.1. Let L be a sub extension of the infinite Galois extension $K|k$. Then $\text{Gal}(K|L)$ is a closed subgroup of $\text{Gal}(K|k)$. Moreover, the following maps $L \mapsto H := \text{Gal}(K|L)$ and $H \mapsto L := K^H$, yield an inclusion reversing bijection between finite sub extension fields $K \supset L \supset k$ and closed subgroups $H \subset G$. We call a sub extension L

Galois over k if and only if $\text{Gal}(K|L)$ is normal subgroup of $\text{Gal}(K|k)$; and we obtain a natural isomorphism $\text{Gal}(L|k) \cong \text{Gal}(K|k)/\text{Gal}(K|L)$.

1.5 Finite Etale Algebras

We have a base field k . Let \bar{k} be its algebraic closure and $k_s \subset \bar{k}$ be its separable closure. Denote $\text{Gal}(k_s|k)$ by $\text{Gal}(k)$. Consider a finite separable extension L of k such that L is not necessarily a sub extension field of k_s . We have already seen that there are finitely many homomorphisms from L to \bar{k} (equal to $[L:k]$). Since L is separable, the images of these homomorphism will be contained in k_s . So, we may consider the finite set $\text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$, which is endowed by a natural action of $\text{Gal}(k)$ given by $(g, \phi) \mapsto g \circ \phi$ for $g \in \text{Gal}(k), \phi \in \text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$. The action of a topological group on a topological space is said to be continuous if the map $m : G \times X \rightarrow X$ given by $(g, x) \mapsto gx$ is continuous. $\text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$ is endowed with discrete topology. The continuity of the above defined action is equivalent to the openness of the stabilizer G_x of each point $x \in X$. Stabilizer of $x \in X$ is $G_x = \{g \in G | gx = x\}$. For $x \in X$, $m^{-1}(x) = U_x = \{(g, y) \in G \times X : gy = x\} = \bigsqcup \{(g, y) \in G \times \{y\} | gy = x\}$ for a fixed $y \in X$. Each of the above disjoint subsets are either empty or homeomorphic to G_x via the map $g \mapsto (gh, y)$ for some $h \in G$ such that $hy = x$. Thus if G_x is open, then U_x is open and hence m is continuous. Conversely, G_x is the preimage of x by the map $G \xrightarrow{i_x} G \times X \xrightarrow{m} X$, where $i_x(g) = (g, x)$. $i_x^{-1} \circ m^{-1}(x) = i_x^{-1}(U_x) = i_x^{-1}(\bigsqcup \{(g, y) \in G \times \{y\} | gy = x\}) \cong G_x$. So continuity of m implies openness of G_x .

Lemma 1.5.1. *The above left action of $\text{Gal}(k)$ on $\text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$ is continuous and transitive, hence $\text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$ as a $\text{Gal}(k)$ -set is isomorphic to the left coset space of some open subgroup in $\text{Gal}(k)$. For L Galois over k this coset space is in fact a quotient by an open normal subgroup.*

Proof. The stabilizer U of an element $\phi \in \text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$ consists of $g \in \text{Gal}(k)$ such that $g\phi = \phi$, it means g fixes $\phi(L)$. Hence U is open in $\text{Gal}(k)$ (using main theorem of infinite Galois theory), so the action of $\text{Gal}(k)$ is continuous. Since L is finite separable extension over k , it is generated by a primitive element α with minimal polynomial f . Each $\phi \in \text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$ maps α to a root of f in k_s . $\text{Gal}(k)$ permutes these roots, so its action on $\text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$ is transitive. The map $g \circ \phi \mapsto gU$ induces an isomorphism of $\text{Hom}_k(L, k_s)$ with left coset space $\text{Gal}(k)/U$. \square

If M is another finite separable extension of k , each k -homomorphism $\phi : L \rightarrow M$ induces a map $Hom_k(M, k_s) \rightarrow Hom_k(L, k_s)$ by composition with ϕ i.e. $f \mapsto f \circ \phi$, $f \in Hom_k(M, k_s)$. This map is $Gal(k)$ -equivariant. So, $Hom_k(k_s)$ is a contravariant functor from category of finite separable extensions to category of finite sets with continuous transitive left $Gal(k)$ -action.

Theorem 1.5.1. *Let k be a field in a separable closure k_s . The contravariant functor defined above that maps a finite separable field extension $L|k$ to the finite set $Hom_k(L, k_s)$ equipped with left action of $Gal(k)$ gives an anti-equivalence between the category of finite separable extensions of k and the category of finite sets equipped with continuous and transitive left action of absolute Galois group $Gal(k)$. If we take Galois extensions instead of separable extensions, then we get the sets equipped with left $Gal(k)$ action isomorphic to some finite quotient of $Gal(k)$.*

Proof. Recall two categories C_1, C_2 are called anti-equivalent iff there exists a contravariant functor $F : C_2 \rightarrow C_1$ which is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

Essentially surjective: We want to show that any continuous transitive $Gal(k)$ -set S is isomorphic to some $Hom_k(L, k_s)$. Pick $s \in S$, we have seen that continuity of the $Gal(k)$ action means that the stabilizer U_s of s is open, hence it is closed and fixes some finite separable extension say L of k . Let $i : L \rightarrow k_s$ be the inclusion map. $Stab.$ of $s = U_s = \{g \in Gal(k) | gs = s\}$ and $Stab.$ of $i = \{g \in Gal(k) | g \circ i = i\}$. If $U_s \subset Gal(k)$ that fixes a field extension L of k , then $g \in U_s$ fixes $x \in L$ i.e. $g \circ i(x) = i(x)$. So $Stabilizer(s) \subset Stabilizer(i)$. If $g \in Stabilizer(i)$, then $g \circ i(x) = i(x) = x$, which means $g \in U_s$, so $Stabilizer(s) \supset Stabilizer(i)$. Hence $Stabilizer(s) = Stabilizer(i)$. Now define a map $g \circ i \rightarrow gs$ from $Gal(k)$ -sets $Hom_k(L, k_s) \rightarrow S$. The map is well-defined because stabilizer of i is same as stabilizer of G and it is clearly an isomorphism. Fully faithfulness: We need to show that given any two finite separable extensions L, M of k , the set of k -homomorphisms, $L \rightarrow M$ corresponds bijectively to the set of $Gal(k)$ -maps $Hom_k(M, k_s) \mapsto Hom_k(L, k_s)$. Since both $Hom_k(M, k_s)$ and $Hom_k(L, k_s)$ are transitive $Gal(k)$ -sets, so a map f between them is given by the image of a fixed $\phi \in Hom_k(M, k_s)$. We know that f is $Gal(k)$ -equivariant, so if U is stabilizer of ϕ i.e. for every $g \in U$, $g\phi = \phi$, then $g(f(\phi)) = f(g\phi) = f(\phi)$. So, $U \subset V$, where V is the stabilizer of $f(\phi)$. By taking the fixed sub fields of U and v , which are $\phi(M)$ and $f(\phi)(L)$ respectively, we get an inclusion $\phi(M) \supset f(\phi)(L)$. Let $\psi : \phi(M) \rightarrow M$ be the inverse, we can see that $\psi \circ f(\phi)$ is a unique element in $Hom_k(L, M)$. \square

Now we want extend this anti-equivalence to $\text{Gal}(k)$ -sets which do not necessarily have transitive action. To do this we replace the category of finite separable extensions by finite dimensional etale k -algebra.

Definition 1.5.1. *A finite dimensional k -algebra A is called **etale**(over k) if it is isomorphic to a finite direct product of separable field extensions of k .*

Theorem 1.5.2. Main Theorem of Galois Theory- Grothendieck's version
The functor that maps a finite etale k -algebra A to finite set $\text{Hom}_k(A, k_s)$ gives an anti-equivalence between the category of finite etale k -algebras and the category of finite sets equipped with continuous left action of $\text{Gal}(k)$. Here separable field extensions give rise to sets with transitive $\text{Gal}(k)$ -action and Galois extensions to sets isomorphic to finite quotients of $\text{Gal}(k)$.

Chapter 2

Fundamental Groups in Topology

In this chapter we will study the topological analogue of Galois theory where the role of field extensions is played by covers and the role of absolute Galois group is played by the fundamental group. We will also study a version of Galois theorem that involves locally constant sheaves.

2.1 Covers

Fix a base space X . A space over X is a topological space Y such that we have a continuous map $p : Y \rightarrow X$.

We define a morphism between two spaces over X as a continuous map $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that the following diagram commutes, here p_1 and p_2 are two different continuous maps of spaces over X

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y_2 \\ p_1 \downarrow & \nearrow p_2 & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

Definition 2.1.1. *Space Y over X is said to be a cover of X if we have a continuous projection map $p : Y \rightarrow X$ with the following property that for every point $x \in X$ there is an open neighbourhood V such that $p^{-1}(V)$ decomposes as the disjoint union of open subsets U_i in Y and $p|_{p^{-1}(V)}$ is a homeomorphism of U_i onto V . The map p is surjective.*

Take a non empty discrete topological space I and consider the product $X \times I$. The natural projection $p : X \times I \rightarrow X$ turns $X \times I$ into a cover of X . This is true because, for $x \in X$, V be an open neighbourhood of x such that $p^{-1}(V) = V \times I = \sqcup_{i \in I} (V \times i)$ and $V|_{p^{-1}(V)} : V \times i \rightarrow V$ is a homeomorphism.

Proposition 2.1.1. *A space Y over X is a cover if and only if each point of X has an open neighbourhood V such that the restriction of the projection $p : Y \rightarrow X$ to $p^{-1}(V)$ is isomorphic (as a space over V) to a trivial cover.*

Proof. If part is trivial. Let $x \in X$ and V be an open neighbourhood of x such that $p^{-1}(V) \cong V \times I = \sqcup_i (V \times i)$ and $p|_{(V \times i)} : (V \times i) \rightarrow V$ given by $(a, i) \mapsto a$ is a homeomorphism. So Y over X is a cover of X .

Only if: If Y over X is a cover, every $x \in X$ has an open neighbourhood V such that $p^{-1} = \sqcup_{i \in I} U_i$, where U_i are disjoint open subsets of Y .

Define a map from $\sqcup_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow V \times I$ by $u_i \mapsto (p(u_i), i)$, for $u_i \in U_i$. Injectivity is clear and surjectivity follows from the surjectivity of p and by the continuity of p and p^{-1} , the map is homeomorphism. So, $V \times I$ turns into a cover of V . \square

Here the set I is called the fibres of p over the points of V .

Corollary 2.1.1. *For a connected space X , all the fibres of the covering map p are homeomorphic to the discrete space I .*

By previous proof we can see that the points of X over which the fibres of p equal some I form an open subset of X . If for some point $x' \in X$, the fibres of p equal equals $J \neq I$, they will form an open subset of X disjoint from V . So, by varying I , we can decompose X as disjoint union of open subsets. But if X is connected, this is not possible. Hence the fibres of p over the points of X must be homeomorphic to same discrete space I .

Definition 2.1.2. *Assume a continuous left action of a group G on a space Y , this action is said to be even if every point y of Y has an open neighbourhood V such that for all $g \in G$, the sets gV are pairwise disjoint.*

Define an equivalence relation on Y as $y \sim g.y, g \in G$. Write G/Y for Y/\sim . Define a topology in the following way so that the projection $p_G : Y \rightarrow G/Y$ is continuous,

$\tau_{G/Y} = \{U \subseteq G/Y \mid p_G^{-1}(U) \text{ is open in } Y\}$. Now we have a quotient space G/Y whose underlying set is the set of orbits of G .

Lemma 2.1.1. *If G is group acting evenly on a connected space Y , the projection $p_G : Y \rightarrow G/Y$ turns Y into a cover of G/Y .*

Proof. For $\forall g \in G$, $p_G : Y \rightarrow G/Y$ given by $y \mapsto \bar{y}$ is clearly surjective.

Let $\bar{y} \in G/Y$ such that y is its representative element in Y . Let U_y be an open neighbourhood of $y \in Y$ such that $\{gU_y\}$ are pairwise disjoint. Set $U_{\bar{y}} = p_G(U_y)$.

So, $p_G^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}}) = \bigcup_{g \in G} (gU_y) = \bigsqcup_{g \in G} (gU_y)$.

We know that an action of a group on a space Y gives homeomorphism for every $g \in G$, $\tau_g : Y \rightarrow Y$ given by $y \mapsto g.y$. So each gU_y are open and so is their disjoint union. Hence $p_G^{-1}(U_{\bar{y}})$ is open and by continuity of p_G , $U_{\bar{y}}$ is open. So, p_G is an open map. Now we just need to show that p_G restricted to p_G^{-1} is a bijection from gU_y to $U_{\bar{y}}$. Consider the map $\phi : U_y \rightarrow U_{\bar{y}} = p(U_y)$. ϕ is clearly surjective. Now if $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$, it means $Gx = Gy$ or equivalently $gx = y$. So if we take an open neighbourhood U of x such that gU are pairwise disjoint for $g \in G$, $gU = V$ such that $y \in V$ is an open neighbourhood of y . If $g \neq id$, $U \cap gU = \emptyset$, so U and V are disjoint neighbourhoods of x and y respectively. So, for every point in Y we can find such neighbourhoods, which are disjoint from each other. This would contradict the connectedness of Y . Hence $g=id$ or $x=y$. Hence ϕ is a homeomorphism. Composing ϕ with τ_g , we get the required homeomorphism from gU_y to $U_{\bar{y}}$. \square

2.2 Galois Covers

Assume the base space X is locally connected i.e. each point in X admits a neighbourhood basis consisting of open connected subsets. Given a cover $p : Y \rightarrow X$, its automorphisms are precisely the topological automorphisms of the space Y over X compatible with p , i.e if $\phi \in \text{Aut}(Y|X)$, then $p \circ \phi = p$. $\text{Aut}(Y|X)$ forms a group under composition.

Also note that for each point $x \in X$, $\text{Aut}(Y|X)$ maps the fibres $p^{-1}(x)$ onto itself. So, $p^{-1}(x)$ is equipped with natural action of $\text{Aut}(Y|X)$.

Lemma 2.2.1. *For a connected cover $p : Y \rightarrow X$, if an automorphism ϕ has a fixed point i.e $\phi(y) = y$, then ϕ must be identity.*

We prove a more general proposition to establish above lemma.

Proposition 2.2.1. *Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a cover, Z a connected topological space. $f, g : Z \rightarrow Y$ two continuous maps satisfying $p \circ f = p \circ g$. If there is a point $z \in Z$ with $f(z) = g(z)$, then $f = g$.*

Proof. Let $M = \{z \in Z \mid f(z) = g(z)\}$. For $z \in Z$, let $f(z) = g(z) = y$. Let V be an open neighbourhood around $p(y)$ such that $p^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_i U_i$, where U_i are open subsets of Y such that restriction of p to $p^{-1}(V)$ is homeomorphism of U_i and V . Let $y \in U_i$ for a fixed i . By the continuity of f and g , f and g must map an open neighbourhood W of z into U_i .

Now we know p maps U_i homeomorphically to V . So by $p \circ f = p \circ g$, f and g must agree on W . So, M is open.

Now consider $z' \in Z$ such that $f(z') \neq g(z')$. So, $f(z')$ maps some open neighbourhood of z' into U_i and $g(z')$ maps some open neighbourhood of z' into U_j , $i \neq j$. Consider the intersection of these two neighbourhoods, f, g maps this new neighbourhood into U_i and U_j respectively. Again by previous argument, $Z - M$ is open or M is closed. So, by connectedness of Z , a non-empty clopen subset must be the whole of the space. So $f = g$ on Z . \square

Substituting $Z=Y$, $f=id$ and $g = \phi$, the previous lemma follows.

Proposition 2.2.2. *If $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is a connected cover, the action of $Aut(Y|X)$ is even.*

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and set $x = p(y)$. Let V be an open connected neighbourhood of x such that $p^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_i U_i$ where U_i are open subsets of Y and p restricted to $p^{-1}(V)$ is a homeomorphisms of U_i and V . Assume $y \in U_i$ for a fixed i . We claim that U_i is an open neighborhood of y and $\phi \in Aut(Y|X)$ such that $\{\phi U_i\}$ are pairwise disjoint. Let $\phi \neq id \in Aut(Y|X)$, then we know it maps fibres of p onto itself.

We can see that, $\phi(U_i) = U_j$, $i \neq j$ by following argument:

$$p \circ \phi(U_i) = p(U_i) = V$$

$\phi(U_i) = p^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_j U_j$, since U_i is connected and ϕ is a homeomorphism, hence, $\phi(U_i)$ is connected. So, $\phi(U_i) = U_j$. If $i = j$, then we have a fixed point y , so by previous lemma, ϕ must be identity which is not the case. Hence $i \neq j$. So $\{\phi U_i\}$ are pairwise disjoint for $\phi \in Aut(Y|X)$. \square

Proposition 2.2.3. *If G is a group acting evenly on a connected space Y , the automorphism group of the cover $p_G : Y \rightarrow G/Y$ is precisely G .*

Proof. We can trivially see that G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Y|(G/Y))$. Take $y \in G/Y$ and let V be its open neighbourhood such that $p_G^{-1}(V) = \bigsqcup_{h \in G} hU$, hU are open subsets in Y . So the action of G will take the fibres of p over V to itself. So $G \subset \text{Aut}(Y|G/Y)$. We have seen that fibres of p_G over a point of G/Y are precisely the orbits of G . So if we take $\phi \in \text{Aut}(Y|(G/Y))$ and $y \in Y$, then $\exists g \in G$ such that $\phi(y) = gy$. Also $g^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(Y|(G/Y))$, so $\phi \circ g^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(Y|(G/Y))$

$$\phi \circ g^{-1}(y) = \phi(g^{-1}.y) = g.g^{-1}.y = y$$

So $\phi \circ g^{-1} = \text{id}$ or $\phi = g$.

Hence $\phi \in G \implies \text{Aut}(Y|(G/Y)) \subset G$.

$\text{Aut}(Y|(G/Y)) = G$. □

If we have a connected cover $p : Y \rightarrow X$, we can form the quotient of Y by the action of $\text{Aut}(Y|X)$. It can be seen that the projection p is the composition of continuous maps $Y \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y|X)/Y \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} X$.

Definition 2.2.1. *A cover $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is called Galois if it is connected and the induced map \bar{p} defined above is a homeomorphism. It is equivalent to saying that a connected cover $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is Galois if and only if the action of $\text{Aut}(Y|X)$ on the fibres of p is transitive for every point in X .*

Theorem 2.2.1. Main Theorem on Galois Covers *Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a Galois cover. For each subgroup H of $G = \text{Aut}(Y|X)$ the projection p induces a natural map $p_H : H/Y \rightarrow X$ which turns H/Y into a cover of X .*

Conversely, if $Z \rightarrow X$ is a connected cover fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Z \\ p \downarrow & \swarrow q & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

Then $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a Galois cover and actually $Z \cong H/Y$ for the subgroup $H = \text{Aut}(Y|Z)$ of G . The maps $H \mapsto H/Y, Z \mapsto \text{Aut}(Y|Z)$ induce a bijection between the subgroups of G and the intermediate covers Z as above. The cover $q : Z \rightarrow X$ is Galois if and only if H is a normal subgroup of G , in which case $\text{Aut}(Z|X) \cong G/H$.

2.3 The Monodromy Action

Definition 2.3.1. Suppose we have a topological space X . A path in X is a continuous map $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, where $[0, 1]$ is the closed unit interval. This path is called a loop or a closed path if its endpoints coincide that is $f(0) = f(1)$.

Two paths $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ are called homotopic if $f(0) = g(0)$, $f(1) = g(1)$ and there is continuous map (also called a homotopy) $h : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $h(0, y) = f(y)$ and $h(1, y) = g(y) \forall y \in [0, 1]$.

Homotopy of paths is an equivalence relation

Reflexivity: $f \sim f$ and the homotopy $h : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is given by $h(x, y) = f(y)$

Symmetric: $f \sim g \implies g \sim f$

Let $h : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a homotopy between f and g such that $h(0, y) = f(y)$, $h(1, y) = g(y)$, $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(1) = g(1)$. Then define $h' : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ by $h'(x, y) = h(1 - x, y)$.

$h'(0, y) = g(y)$ and $h'(1, y) = f(y)$. So h' is a continuous map such that g is homotopic to f .

Transitivity: $f \sim g, g \sim h \implies f \sim h$.

Let $h_1 : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy between f and g such that $h_1(0, y) = f(y)$ and $h_1(1, y) = g(y)$ and let $h_2 : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy between g and h such that $h_2(0, y) = g(y)$ and $h_2(1, y) = h(y)$. Define $h_3 : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ by $h_3(x, y) = h_1(2x, y)$ if $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $h_3(x, y) = h_2(2x - 1, y)$ if $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1$. $h_3(0, y) = h_1(0, y) = f(y)$, $h_3(1, y) = h_2(1, y) = h(y)$, $h_3(\frac{1}{2}, y) = h_1(1, y) = h_2(0, y) = g(y)$, so h_3 is continuous and hence f and h are homotopic.

Given two paths $f, g : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $f(0) = g(1)$, we define their product or composition as following:

$f \circ g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ by $(f \circ g)(x) = g(2x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $(f \circ g)(x) = f(2x - 1)$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.3.1. The above defined operation passes to quotient modulo homotopy equivalence. It means that if f_1, f_2 are two homotopic paths such that $f_1(1) = f_2(1) = g(0)$, then $f_1 \cdot g$ and $f_2 \cdot g$ are also homotopic.

Proof. $f_1 \sim f_2$ which means that $f_1(1) = f_2(1)$ and $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$ and also $\exists h : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $h(0, y) = f_1(y)$ and $h(1, y) = f_2(y)$, $y \in [0, 1]$.

Define $H : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ by $H(x, y) = h(x, g(y))$, $H(0, y) = h(0, g(y)) = f_1 \circ g(y)$, $H(1, y) = h(1, g(y)) = f_2 \circ g(y)$.

Therefore H is a homotopy between $f_1 \circ g(y)$ and $f_2 \circ g(y)$. \square

Composition of paths induces a multiplication on the set of homotopy classes of closed paths with endpoint equal to fixed $x \in X$. We denote this set by $\pi_1(X, x)$. $\pi_1(X, x)$ equipped with the multiplication described above forms a group. If f is homotopic to f' and g is homotopic to g' , we can find a homotopy between $f \circ g$ and $f' \circ g'$ by composition of two homotopies. Constant path $[0, 1] \rightarrow \{x\}$ constitutes the identity element of the group. Inverse of a path $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is given by $f^{-1}(x) = f(1 - x)$.

Lemma 2.3.2. *For a path-connected topological space X , there is an isomorphism between $\pi_1(X, x)$ and $\pi_1(X, y)$.*

Proof. f is a path from x to y and f^{-1} is a path from y to x . Define a map, $\beta_f : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, y)$ by $y \mapsto f \circ g \circ f^{-1}$.

$\beta_f(g) = f \circ g \circ f^{-1}$. β_f is a homeomorphism.

$\beta_f[h \circ g] = [f \circ h \circ g \circ f^{-1}] = [f \circ h \circ f^{-1} \circ f \circ g \circ h^{-1}] = [f \circ h \circ f^{-1}][f \circ g \circ h^{-1}] = \beta_f(h)\beta_f(g)$.

β_f is an isomorphism. $\beta_f \circ \beta_{f^{-1}}[g] = \beta_f[f^{-1} \circ g \circ f] = f \circ f^{-1} \circ g \circ f \circ f^{-1} = [g]$ and similarly $\beta_{f^{-1}} \circ \beta_f[g] = [g]$. \square

Lemma 2.3.3. Path Lifting lemma

Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a cover, y a point of Y and $x = p(y)$. Given a path $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $f(0) = x$, there is a unique path $\tilde{f} : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ with $\tilde{f}(0) = y$ and $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$.

Proof. Let θ be an open cover of X . $f^{-1}(\theta) = \{f^{-1}(G) | G \in \theta\}$ where G are open sets in θ whose union is X . So $f^{-1}(\theta)$ covers $[0, 1]$. By Lebesgue covering lemma, we have a number η such that for a natural number n , $\eta > \frac{1}{n}$. Consider the partition of $[0, 1]$ as $\{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}$ such that for $j=1, 2, \dots, n$.

$f([\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]) \subset G$, for some $G \subset X$. Let f_o denote the restriction of $f|_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]}$, then $f([0, \frac{1}{n}]) \subset G_o \implies f(0) = x \in G_o$. Since G_o is an open set in X , we have a sheet $\tilde{G}_o \in Y$ such that $p(y)=x$.

$p|_{\tilde{G}_o} = p_o$. Since p_o is a homeomorphism between \tilde{G}_o and G_o . Let q_o^{-1} be its inverse. On sub interval $[0, \frac{1}{n}]$, we define $\tilde{f}_o = q_o \circ f_o$.

We have the initial piece of \tilde{f} . Now let f_j be the restriction of f to $[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}]$. Assume inductively for j^{th} step that $\tilde{f}_j : [\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}] \rightarrow Y$ is defined such that $p \circ \tilde{f}_j = f_j$ and $\tilde{f}_j(\frac{j}{n}) = \tilde{f}_{j-1}(\frac{j}{n})$ and $\tilde{f}_o(0) = y$.

Call $f_j(\frac{j+1}{n}) = x_{j+1}$, $\tilde{f}_j(\frac{j+1}{n}) = \tilde{x}_{j+1}$ and $p(\tilde{x}_{j+1}) = x_{j+1}$.

Now let $G_{j+1} \in \theta$ be an evenly covered neighbourhood of x_{j+1} such that $f([\frac{j+i}{n}, \frac{j+2}{n}]) \subset G_{j+1}$. Let \tilde{G}_{j+1} be a sheet in Y containing \tilde{x}_{j+1} with $p(\tilde{x}_{j+1}) = x_{j+1}$. Call $p|_{\tilde{G}_{j+1}} = p_{j+1}$. p_{j+1} is a homeomorphism of \tilde{G}_{j+1} onto G_{j+1} . Let q_{j+1} be its inverse such that $q_{j+1}(x_{j+1}) = \tilde{x}_{j+1}$.

Set $\tilde{f}_{j+1} = q_{j+1} \circ f_{j+1} \implies p \circ \tilde{f}_{j+1} = f_{j+1}$ such that $\tilde{f}_{j+1}(\frac{j+1}{n}) = q_{j+1}(x_{j+1}) = \tilde{x}_{j+1} = \tilde{f}_j(\frac{j+1}{n})$.

Now glue the pieces of \tilde{f}_j to yield $\tilde{f} : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ such that $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$ and $\tilde{f}(0) = y$. Uniqueness follows from a previous proposition with X, Y and $Z=[0,1]$. \square

Lemma 2.3.4. Homotopy lifting lemma

Assume moreover given a second path $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ homotopic to f . Then the unique $\tilde{g} : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ with $\tilde{g}(0) = y$ and $p \circ \tilde{g} = g$ has the same end point as \tilde{f} i.e. $\tilde{f}(1) = \tilde{g}(1)$.

Proof. We have to show that given a homotopy $F : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $F(0, t) = f(t)$ and $F(1, t) = g(t)$, there is a lifting $\tilde{F} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ of F such that $p \circ \tilde{F} = F$, $\tilde{F}(0, t) = \tilde{f}(t)$ and $\tilde{F}(1, t) = \tilde{g}(t)$. The construction is same as the previous one. Consider the covering θ of X by evenly covered open neighbourhoods. Let ϵ be a Lebesgue number for the covering $\{F^{-1}(U) | U \in \theta\}$. We can choose n large enough such that any square in $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ is contained in $F^{-1}(U)$.

Now since $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ is compact. We can have a partition with grid points $\{(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n}) | 0 \leq j \leq n, 0 \leq k \leq n\}$. S_{jk} is a square with vertices $\{(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n}), (\frac{j+1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}), (\frac{j+1}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}), (\frac{j}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n})\}$. And the proof goes same as that of previous lemma. \square

Now we construct the left action of $\pi_1(X, x)$ on the fibre $p^{-1}(x)$. We need $\pi_1(X, x) \times p^{-1}(x) \rightarrow p^{-1}(x)$ given by $([\alpha], y) \mapsto [\alpha].y$

If $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the unique lift of α , so the endpoint of $\tilde{\alpha}$ must be lying over x i.e. $\tilde{\alpha} \in p^{-1}(x)$. So define $[\alpha].y = \tilde{\alpha}(1)$. This action is well defined: Replace α by α' such that α is homotopic to α' . So, $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}'$ will be homotopic by homotopy lifting property and so they will have the same endpoint.

Claim: The above defined action is left action. Consider $\mu_{[\alpha]} : p^{-1}(x) \rightarrow p^{-1}(x)$ given by $y \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}(1)$. To verify that its a left action, we need to show that each $\mu_{[\alpha]}$ is a bijective map.

Surjectivity: Let $y_1 \in p^{-1}(x)$. Take α^{-1} , based at x . Take its unique lift $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(0) = y_1$. Let y_2 be the end point of the $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$. Then $\mu_{[\alpha]}(y_2) = y_1$

Injectivity: If $\mu_{[\alpha]}(y_1) = \mu_{[\alpha]}(y_2)$, $\tilde{\alpha}_1 \circ (\tilde{\alpha}_2)^{-1}$ is a lifting of $\alpha \circ \alpha^{-1}$ which is homotopic to e_{y_1} and has to be equal to unique lift e_{y_1} . So, $y_1 = y_2$. The above defined left action is called **the monodromy action** on the fibre $p^{-1}(x)$.

We first define a functor Fib_x from the category of covers to the category of sets equipped with left $\pi_1(X, x)$ - action by sending the cover $p : Y \rightarrow X$ to the fibres $p^{-1}(x)$. Fib_x is a functor:

Suppose $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a morphism of covers such that $p_1 : Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_2 : Z \rightarrow X$ and $p_1 = p_2 \circ f$ are the two covering maps. Fix $y \in Y$ such that $p(y) = x$. Take a path α in X whose unique lift in Y is $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ and in Z is $\tilde{\alpha}_2$. So $p_1 \circ \tilde{\alpha}_1 = p_2 \circ \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \alpha$. This implies $p_2 \circ f \circ \tilde{\alpha}_1 = p_2 \circ \tilde{\alpha}_2 \implies f \circ \tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{\alpha}_2$ such that $z = f(y)$.

Theorem 2.3.1. *Let X be a connected as well as locally simply connected topological space with a base point $x \in X$. The functor Fib_x defined above gives an equivalence of category of covers of X and the category of sets equipped with left action of group $\pi_1(X, x)$. Connected covers come from sets with transitive left action of $\pi_1(X, x)$ and Galois covers come from coset spaces of normal subgroups.*

The above theorem is proved with the help of following two theorems:

Theorem 2.3.2. *For a connected and locally simply connected topological space X and a base point $x \in X$, the functor Fib_x is representable by a cover $\tilde{X}_x \rightarrow X$.*

The cover \tilde{X}_x depends on the choice of x . By definition, the cover maps from $\pi : \tilde{X}_x \rightarrow X$ to $p : Y \rightarrow X$ correspond bijectively to the points in fibres $p^{-1}(x)$. In

particular, $Fib_x(\tilde{X}_x) \cong Hom_x(\tilde{X}_x, \tilde{X}_x)$ and the identity map of \tilde{X}_x corresponds to the an element \tilde{x} in the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$, this is called the universal element. That is, we have $\pi^{-1}(x) \cong Hom_X(\tilde{X}_x, \tilde{X}_x)$.

If $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is an arbitrary cover of X , an element $y \in p^{-1}(x)$ corresponds to the cover map $\pi_y : \tilde{X}_x \rightarrow Y$ by the isomorphism $Fib_x(Y) \cong Hom_x(\tilde{X}_x, Y)$.

Theorem 2.3.3. *The cover \tilde{X}_x is a connected Galois cover of X , with automorphism group isomorphic to $\pi_1(X, x)$. Moreover, for each cover $Y \rightarrow X$ the left action of $Aut(\tilde{X}_x|X)^{op}$ on $Fib_x(Y)$ given by previous construction is exactly the monodromy action of $\pi_1(X, x)$.*

Proof. Proof of Theorem 2.3.1

We need to check Fib_x satisfies the condition of fully-faithfulness and essential surjectivity.

Fully-faithfulness: Given two connected covers $p : Y \rightarrow X$ and $q : Z \rightarrow X$, we have to prove that each map $\phi : Fib_x(Y) \rightarrow Fib_x(Z)$ of $\pi_1(X, x)$ -sets come from a unique map $Y \rightarrow Z$ of covers. Consider a morphism of covers $\pi_y : \tilde{X}_x \rightarrow Y$ compatible over X . By the main theorem on Galois covers, π_y realizes Y as a quotient of \tilde{X}_x by the stabilizer $U_y = Aut(\tilde{X}_x|Y)$ of y which means that for the galois cover $\pi_y : \tilde{X}_x \rightarrow Y$ and a subgroup $U_y = Aut(\tilde{X}_x|Y)$, π_y induces a map $U_y/\tilde{X}_x \rightarrow Y$ and let $\psi_y : Y \rightarrow U_y/\tilde{X}_x$ be the inverse map. Since $U_y \subset stab(\phi(y))$ because for $g \in U_y$, we have $g(\phi(y)) = \phi(y) = \phi(g(y))$. So $\pi_{\phi(y)=z} : \tilde{X}_x \rightarrow Z$ corresponding to $\phi(y)$ induces a map $U_y/\tilde{X}_x \rightarrow Z$. Composing above map with ψ_y , we get a unique map from $Y \rightarrow Z$.

For essential surjectivity, we have to show that each left $\pi_1(X, x)$ set S is isomorphic to the fibre of some cover of X . Lets say S is transitive, pick a point y in some cover Y of X . Take the the quotient of \tilde{X}_x by the stabilizer of point $s \in S$. If S is not transitive, then decompose it into $\pi_1(X, x)$ orbits and take disjoint union covers obtained from each orbit. We get the bijection from $\sqcup S_i \rightarrow \sqcup H_i/\tilde{X}_x$. \square

2.4 Locally constant sheaves and their classification

Definition 2.4.1. Let X be a topological space. A presheaf of sets \mathcal{F} on X is a rule that associates each non-empty open subset $U \subset X$ a set $\mathcal{F}(U)$ and each inclusion $V \subset U$ a map $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$. Here ρ_{UU} are the identity maps. If we have a tower of inclusions $W \subset V \subset U$, the identity $\rho_{UW} = \rho_{VW} \circ \rho_{UV}$ holds. Elements of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ are called sections of \mathcal{F} over U .

We can similarly define presheaf of groups, abelian groups or rings where $\mathcal{F}(U)$ are groups, abelian groups or rings respectively and ρ_{UV} are the homomorphisms.

With this definition, we see that presheaf of sets on a space X forms a category where the morphism of presheafs $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is collection of the maps $\Phi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ such that for every inclusion $V \subset U$, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_V} & \mathcal{G}(V) \\ \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{F}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{G}} \\ \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \end{array}$$

We can think of continuous real valued functions defined locally on the open sets of X . For each inclusion $V \subset U$ we have an inclusion map $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ such that for $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, $\rho_{UV}(f) = f|_V$. Given two open sets U_1 and U_2 and continuous functions $f_i : U_i \rightarrow R$, $i = 1, 2$ such that $\forall x \in U_1 \cap U_2$ we have $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$, we can define a continuous function $f : U_1 \cup U_2 \rightarrow R$ by setting $f(x) = f_i(x)$ if $x \in U_i$. This is the patching property of continuous functions.

Definition 2.4.2. A presheaf \mathcal{F} is a sheaf if it satisfies the following two axioms:

1. Given a non-empty open set U and a covering $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of U by non-empty open sets, if two sections $s, t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ satisfy $s|_{U_i} = t|_{U_i} \forall i \in I$, then $s = t$.

2. For any open covering $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of U as above, given a system of sections $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i) : i \in I$ with the property $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$, $\exists s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{U_i} = s_i \forall i \in I$.

Definition 2.4.3. Let S be a topological space and X be another topological space. Define a sheaf \mathcal{F}_S on X where the elements of $\mathcal{F}_S(U)$ are the continuous functions $U \rightarrow S$ for a non-empty open subset $U \subset X$.

If the space S is discrete, then the sheaf \mathcal{F}_S is called the **constant sheaf** on X with value S .

Definition 2.4.4. A sheaf \mathcal{F} on topological space X is called locally constant if every point in X has an open neighbourhood U such that $\mathcal{F}|_U$ is isomorphic to a constant sheaf.

Definition 2.4.5. Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a space over X , $U \subset X$ be an open set in X . A section of p over U is a continuous map $s : U \rightarrow Y$ such that $p \circ s = \text{id}_U$. So we can define a presheaf \mathcal{F}_Y such that for an open set $U \subset X$, the elements of $\mathcal{F}_Y(U)$ are the sections of p over U .

Proposition 2.4.1. The presheaf \mathcal{F}_Y just defined is a sheaf. If $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is a cover, the \mathcal{F}_Y is locally constant. It is constant if and only if the cover is trivial.

Proof. The sections of the presheaf are nothing but continuous functions $U \rightarrow Y$ which satisfy the sheaf axioms or the patching property. So \mathcal{F}_Y is a sheaf.

If Y is cover over X , take a point $x \in X$ and an open connected neighbourhood V of x . By an earlier result in covers, we know that the cover over V is trivial i.e. it is isomorphic to $V \times p^{-1}(x)$. Let s be a section of p over V . $s(V)$ is a connected open subset of Y such that $p(s(V)) = V$. So $s(V)$ must be one of the components of $p^{-1}(V)$. Hence the sections of p over V are in bijection with the points in the fibre $p^{-1}(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_Y|_V$ is isomorphic to constant sheaf defined by the fibres over x . \square

A morphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow Z$ of covers over X induces a natural morphism $\mathcal{F}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Z$ of locally constant sheaves by the map $s \mapsto \phi \circ s$ where $s : U \rightarrow Y$ is a section of $p : Y \rightarrow X$ over U . So we have a functor $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y$.

Definition 2.4.6. Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf of sets on space X . Take a point $x \in X$. The **Stalk** \mathcal{F}_x of \mathcal{F} at x is defined as disjoint union of open neighbourhoods U of x modulo \sim , where the equivalence relation \sim is as: $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ are equivalent if \exists open neighbourhood $W \subset U \cap V$ of x such that $s|_W = t|_W$.

The sets $\mathcal{F}(U)$ form a direct system indexed by an indexing set whose direct limit is \mathcal{F}_x .

Construction 2.1. *Construction of space $X_{\mathcal{F}}$*

Now we construct a space $p_{\mathcal{F}} : X_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow X$ over X . $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a disjoint union of the stalks \mathcal{F}_x . Define $p_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(x) = \mathcal{F}_x \forall x \in X$. $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the projection map defined by $\mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \{x\}$. Now we give a topology to the space $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. Given an open set $U \subset X$ and a section $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, define a map $i_s : U \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{F}}$ by $x \mapsto s_x$ where s_x is the image of s in the stalk \mathcal{F}_x . The sets $i_s(U)$ are the open sets in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ for all U and s . This definition turns the maps i_s and $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ into continuous maps. Moreover we have $p_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{F}(U)} i_s(U)$.

If \mathcal{F} is locally constant, then $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a cover of X . For a connected open set $U \subset X$, $\mathcal{F}|_U \cong F$, where F is a constant sheaf and has discrete topology. Then the fibres of $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ over $x \in U$ is equal to F , so $p_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(U) \cong U \times F$.

Theorem 2.4.1. *The above defined functor induces an equivalence between the categories of covers of X and that of locally constant sheaves on X .*

A morphism $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of presheaves induces the maps $\mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x$ for each $x \in X$ which induces a map $\Phi : X_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}}$ (as sets) compatible with projections onto X .

This map Φ is a morphism of spaces over X . We just need to see that the map Φ is continuous. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\mathcal{F}} & \xrightarrow{\quad \Phi \quad} & X_{\mathcal{G}} \\ p_{\mathcal{F}} \downarrow & \swarrow p_{\mathcal{G}} & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

Let $U \subset X$ be an open set and $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ where $i_t : U \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a continuous map such that $p_{\mathcal{G}} \circ i_t = id_U$. By the commutativity of the diagram, we have $p_{\mathcal{G}} \circ \Phi = p_{\mathcal{F}}$ or $p_{\mathcal{G}} = p_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \Phi^{-1}$. So we have $p_{\mathcal{G}}(i_t(U)) = U = p_{\mathcal{F}}(\Phi^{-1}(i_t(U)))$. It means that $\Phi^{-1}(i_t(U))$ is open and hence the map Φ is continuous.

So the rule $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a functor from the category of sheaves on X to the category of spaces over X . If the sheaf \mathcal{F} is locally constant, then the spaces over X become the covers of X and the fibres of $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ over x is the stalk \mathcal{F}_x .

Proof. Proof of Theorem 2.4.1

We need to show $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathcal{F}}} \cong \mathcal{F}$ functorially given a locally constant sheaf \mathcal{F} on X and conversely, given a cover $Y \rightarrow X$, $X_{\mathcal{F}_Y} \cong Y$. We have a natural morphism of sheaves

$\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X_{\mathcal{F}}}$ given by $s \mapsto i_s$ where $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and $i_s : U \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a local section. Similarly we have a morphism of covers $Y \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{F}_Y}$ where y maps to corresponding point in the fibre $\mathcal{F}_{Y,x}$ over x where $y \in Y$ is a point in the fibres over the point x . To show that these morphisms are isomorphisms, we consider an open covering $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of X . $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$ is constant sheaf $\forall i \in I$. By replacing each U_i by X , \mathcal{F} becomes constant on X and so we can assume \mathcal{F} to be a constant sheaf with values in a discrete set F . We have $X_F \cong X \times F$, so $\mathcal{F}_{X_F} \cong \mathcal{F}$ holds true. Conversely, the local sections of the trivial cover $X \times F \rightarrow X$ is the constant sheaf defined by F . \square

Combining it with Theorem 2.3.1 we obtain the following result:

Theorem 2.4.2. *Let X be a connected and locally simply connected topological space, and let x be a point in X . The category of locally constant sheaves of sets on X is equivalent to the category of sets endowed with a left action of $\pi_1(X, x)$.*

\mathcal{F}_x is equipped with left action by $\pi_1(X, x)$. $\pi_1(X, x) \times \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ defined by $\alpha.y = \tilde{f}(1)$, where f is a representative of the class α such that $f(0) = x = f(1)$, \tilde{f} is a unique lift of f .

Theorem 2.4.3. *Let X and x be as above and R be a commutative ring. The category of locally constant sheaves of R -modules on X is equivalent to category of left modules over the group ring $R[\pi_1(X, x)]$.*

Proof. The stalk \mathcal{F}_x is an R module and is equipped with left action by $\pi_1(X, x)$. We need to show that \mathcal{F}_x is $R[\pi_1(X, x)]$ module that is the action of $\pi_1(X, x)$ is compatible with the R -module structure. Define the direct product of sheaves $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ by $(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F})(U) = \mathcal{F}(U) \times \mathcal{F}(U)$ where $U \subset X$ is an open set. Its stalk over a point x is $\mathcal{F}_x \times \mathcal{F}_x$. Addition on \mathcal{F} over an open set U is simple defined by $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto s_1 + s_2$ and similarly we can induce this map to the level of stalk \mathcal{F}_x . And since \mathcal{F}_x is equipped with left action of $\pi_1(X, x)$, we have $\sigma(s_1 + s_2) = \sigma s_1 + \sigma s_2$ for $\sigma \in \pi_1(X, x)$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{F}_x$ and $\sigma(\alpha s_1) = \alpha \sigma(s_1)$ for $\alpha \in R$. \square

2.5 Local systems

Definition 2.5.1. *A complex local system on X is a locally constant sheaf of finite dimensional complex vector space. If the space X is connected, then the stalks have the same dimension which is called the dimension of the complex system.*

The following corollary follows from the previous theorem and definition:

Corollary 2.5.1. *Let X be a connected and simply connected topological space. Category of complex local systems on X is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional left representations of $\pi_1(X, x)$.*

This means that for given a complex system on X , we have a homomorphism $\pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. This called the monodromy representation of local system.

Example 2.1. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a connected open subset. Consider n^{th} order linear differential equation $y^n + a_1 y^{n-1} + a_2 y^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1} y' + a_n y = 0$ where a_i are the holomorphic functions on D . For every open set $U \subset D$, consider the local holomorphic solutions of the equation on U . A \mathbb{C} -linear combination of the local solutions over U is also a solution of the differential equation. So the solutions over U form a complex vector space, denote it by $S(U)$. By a theorem of Cauchy ([For81], Theorem 11.2) we know that each point of D has an open neighbourhood U such that $S(U)$ has a finite basis x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . So the local solution form a subsheaf of \mathcal{O}^n . S is a complex local system of dimension n .*

The local system S of the above example is uniquely determined n -dimensional left representation of $\pi_1(X, x)$. Take a point $x \in D$, a closed path $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow D$ such that $f(0) = x = f(1)$ representing $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, x)$. Take $s \in S_x$ which is germ of the holomorphic function satisfying the differential equation. Since S is a complex local system or a locally constant sheaf, we have a cover $p_S : D_S \rightarrow D$. We have $s \in S_x = p_S^{-1}(x)$. Action of γ on s is given by $[\gamma].s = \tilde{f}(1)$, such that $\tilde{f}(0) = s$ and \tilde{f} is the unique lift of f to the space D_S . More explicitly, we can look into the proof of homotopy lifting lemma, we have open sets U_1, \dots, U_k of D such that $f^{-1}(U_1), \dots, f^{-1}(U_k)$ form an open covering of $[0, 1]$. S being a locally constant sheaf is constant over each U_i . There are section $s_i \in S_i$ such that $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_{i+1}} = s_{i+1}|_{U_i \cap U_{i+1}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, such that s_1 maps to s , s_k maps to γs in S_x . γs is the analytic continuation of the germ s along the path f .

Chapter 3

Riemann surfaces

The main aim of this chapter is to draw a link between the Galois theory and the theory of covers which can be done by studying covers of Riemann surfaces. We will use some results from the theory of Riemann surfaces to study the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{C}(t)$.

3.1 Basics

X -topological space which is Hausdorff. We define a **Complex atlas** on X as an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \in I\}$ with the associated maps $f_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ that map U homeomorphically onto some open subset of \mathbb{C}^n , and for each pair $(i, j) \in I \times I$, with a additional condition that the maps $f_i \circ f_j^{-1} : f_j(U_i \cap U_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ become holomorphic. We call these f_i 's as complex charts.

Equivalence of complex atlases: Two complex atlases \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' are said to be equivalent if their union is also a complex atlas and the map $f'_i \circ f_j^{-1} : f_j(U'_i \cap U_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ are holomorphic.

The space defined above is called n -dimensional complex manifold. For $n=1$, the space is called Riemann surface.

Example 3.1. In 2-sphere S^2 , fix two antipodal points $0, \infty \in S^2$. Now define the following two complex charts: $z : S^2 - \infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ mapping it homeomorphically onto \mathbb{C} by stereographic projection defined below:

$z(p, q, r) = \frac{p}{1-r} + i\frac{q}{1-r}$ where (p, q, r) is a point in S^2 . And the other complex chart which is a homeomorphism from $S^2 - 0 \rightarrow C$ defined by the maps $\infty \mapsto 0$ and $z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$. The two maps defined above are holomorphic and the Riemann surface is called complex projective line $P_1(C)$.

Definition 3.1.1. Holomorphic map between two Riemann surfaces Y and X is a continuous map $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that every pair of open sets $U \subset X$ and $V \subset Y$ fulfilling the condition $\phi(V) \subset U$ and also for the complex charts $f : U \rightarrow C$ and $g : V \rightarrow C$, $f \circ \phi \circ g^{-1} : g(V) \rightarrow C$ are holomorphic functions.

3.2 Important facts about Riemann surfaces and Holomorphic maps

Proposition 3.2.1. Let $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic map between two Riemann surfaces and x, y be points in X and Y resp. such that $\phi(y) = x$. Then open neighbourhoods U_y of y and V_x of x such that $\phi(U_y) \subset V_x$ and the complex charts $g_y : U_y \rightarrow C$ and $f_x : V_x \rightarrow C$ that satisfy $f_x(x) = g_y(y) = 0$ and the following diagram commutes for a positive integer e_y .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_y & \xrightarrow{\phi} & V_x \\ g_y \downarrow & & \downarrow f_x \\ C & \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^{e_y}} & C \end{array}$$

Definition 3.2.1. The integer e_y defined above is called the ramification index or branching order of ϕ at the point y . The points in the surface Y with $e_y > 1$ are called branch points of ϕ and their set is called S_ϕ .

Corollary 3.2.1. A holomorphic map between two Riemann surfaces is open.

Proof. Since the complex charts f_x and g_x are open and continuous and the map $z \mapsto z^e$ is open, the map ϕ is open. \square

Corollary 3.2.2. The fibres of ϕ and set S_ϕ are discrete closed subsets of Y .

Definition 3.2.2. A map between two locally compact topological spaces is called **Proper** if it is continuous and the pre-image of every compact subset is compact. Additionally, for Hausdorff spaces, a proper map is closed as well.

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper holomorphic map between two Riemann surfaces and X be connected. This map ϕ is surjective having finite fibres. Also, when restricted to $Y - \phi^{-1}(\phi(S_\phi))$, ϕ turns into a cover of $X - \phi(S_\phi)$.*

Proof. The fibres of ϕ form a discrete closed subset of Y and discrete closed subsets of compact space are finite.

Surjectivity of ϕ : ϕ is an open map, so by the openness of Y , $\phi(Y)$ becomes open in X . Also, ϕ is proper hence it is closed, so $\phi(Y)$ is also closed in X . But since X is connected, $\phi(Y) = X$, hence ϕ is surjective. Since the fibres of ϕ are finite, consider finitely many pre-images of a point $x \in X - \phi(S_\phi)$. By a previous result, each of these has an open neighbourhood V_y mapping homeomorphically onto an open neighbourhood of x . Take the intersection over these finite open neighbourhoods of x which is open and we have an open neighbourhood around each point x satisfying the definition of covers. So $Y - \phi^{-1}(\phi(S_\phi))$ turns into a cover of $X - \phi(S_\phi)$. \square

Definition 3.2.3. *A proper surjective map of locally compact Riemann surfaces is called **finite branched cover** if outside a discrete closed subset, it restricts to a cover.*

Notation Let X be a connected Riemann surface and $S \subset X$ be a discrete closed subset of X . The category of Riemann surfaces equipped with proper holomorphic maps $Y \rightarrow X$ such that all its branched points lie above S is denoted by $Hol_{X,S}$.

Theorem 3.2.1. *There is an equivalence between the category of Riemann surfaces and the topological covers of $X - S$ obtained by sending a Riemann surface $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ to the topological cover $Y - \phi^{-1}(S) \rightarrow X - S$ via restricting the map ϕ .*

The following helping lemma proves theorem for $S = \emptyset$:

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a connected topological cover of a Riemann surface X . A unique complex structure can be given to space Y such that p is a holomorphic map.*

Proof. Since Y is a cover of X , every $y \in Y$ has an open neighbourhood V such that it is mapped homeomorphically onto an open neighbourhood U of the point $p(y)$. Take an open subset U' of U containing the point $p(y)$ and a complex chart $f : U' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then $f \circ p$ defines a complex chart in the neighbourhood of point $y \in Y$ since p and

f are local homeomorphisms. And open neighbourhood around $y \in Y$ belongs to complex atlas on Y . The complex structure is unique because $p|_V : V \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.2.3. *Let X be a connected Riemann surface and $S \subset X$ be a discrete closed set, $X' = X - S$ and $\phi' : Y' \rightarrow X'$ be a finite connected cover of X' . Then there exists a Riemann surface $Y \supset Y'$ as an open set and there is a proper holomorphic map $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi|_{Y'} = \phi'$ and $Y' = Y - \phi^{-1}(S)$.*

Definition 3.2.4. *Y is a finite Galois Branched cover of X in above proposition, if Y' is Galois over X' .*

Facts about Galois branched covers: If $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper holomorphic map between connected Riemann surfaces and Y is Galois branched cover of X , then:

1. $\text{Aut}(Y|X)$ acts transitively on the fibres of ϕ .
2. All the points in $\phi^{-1}(\phi(y))$ are branch points if $y \in Y$ is a branch point with the same branching order.

3.3 Relation with field Theory

Definition 3.3.1. *A function f on Riemann surface X is called meromorphic if for some closed discrete space $S \subset X$, f is holomorphic on $X - S$ and for all complex charts $\phi : U \rightarrow C$, the function $f \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U) \rightarrow C$ is holomorphic.*

The ring of meromorphic functions on X is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(X)$.

Lemma 3.3.1. *$\mathcal{M}(X)$ is a field if X is connected.*

Proof. Take $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and if the zeroes of f form a discrete closed space, then $\frac{1}{f} \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Assume that the solution set S isn't discrete or we can say that it is an infinite subset of X , S must have a limit point. Indeed, assume that there is no limit point of the infinite subset S , so every point $x \in X$ has an open neighbourhood U_x such that $S \cap U_x$ contains at most one point which is x , only when $x \in S$. Hence S cannot be covered by finite collection of open neighbourhoods. Similarly we can argue X cannot be covered by finite collection of open subsets, which means X is not compact, which is a contradiction. Hence S has a limit point say x .

By composing f with complex chart $U \rightarrow C$ such that $x \in U$, we get a holomorphic function on complex domain whose set of zeros has a limit point. By a theorem on complex analysis ([1], Theorem 10.18), f is zero on the complex domain and hence f is zero in some neighbourhood of x . Now form a set of those points in X , such that the function f vanishes on the neighbourhood of those points. This set is open and also it is closed because it contains all of its limit points. Now since X is connected, then $f = 0$ on X , which is a contradiction. So set of zeros of f is discrete and hence $\frac{1}{f} \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. \square

Theorem 3.3.1. *Riemann's Existence Theorem* *Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be finite number of points in compact Riemann surface X and assume a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be a sequence of complex numbers. Then there exists a meromorphic function f contained in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ with the property that f is holomorphic at all the x_i with $f(x_i) = a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

A holomorphic map $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ between two Riemann surfaces induces a ring homomorphism $\phi^* : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Y)$ by the map $\phi^*(f) = f \circ \phi$. Under the assumptions that X is connected, X and Y are compact, ϕ is proper surjective map with finite fibres, $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ becomes a finite etale algebra over $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Y is the disjoint union of connected and compact Riemann surfaces Y_i if not, then there are infinitely many connected components covering Y which contradicts compactness of Y . So we have $\mathcal{M}(Y) = \coprod \mathcal{M}(Y_i)$.

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant holomorphic map of compact and connected Riemann surfaces, which has a degree d as a branched cover. The induced field extension $\mathcal{M}(Y)|_{\phi^*\mathcal{M}(X)}$ is finite of degree d .*

The above proposition follows from the following lemma

Lemma 3.3.2. *Let $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper holomorphic map of connected Riemann surfaces which has a degree d as a branched cover. Every meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ satisfies a polynomial equation of degree d over $\mathcal{M}(X)$.*

Proof. Take S to be the set of branch points of $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$. Take a point $x \in X - \phi(S)$. Since $Y - \phi^{-1}(\phi(S))$ is a topological cover of $X - S(\phi)$, there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset X$ containing x such that $\phi^{-1}(U) \cong \bigsqcup V_i$, where V_i 's are open neighbourhoods in Y . The restriction of ϕ to V_i is an homeomorphism of V_i onto U . Denote the holomorphic section of ϕ mapping U onto V_i homeomorphically by s_i . Let $f_i = f \circ s_i$, f_i meromorphic on U . Substitute $A = \prod(t - f_i) = t^d + a_{d-1}t^{d-1} + \dots + a_0$,

where a_i 's are symmetric polynomials of f_i and hence are meromorphic. Now take another point $x' \in X - \phi(S)$ and let U' be the neighbourhood around x' . Construct a polynomial A' as done for the previous point on open set $U \cap U'$. The roots of A' and A are same meromorphic functions so the coefficients of A' coincide with those of A . So a_i 's extend to meromorphic functions in $X - \phi(S)$. Now we show that they extend to meromorphic function in X . Take $x \in \phi(S)$, pick a coordinate chart $f_x : U_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where $U_x \subset U$ is a neighbourhood around x with $f_x(x) = 0$. The function $f_x \circ \phi$ defines a holomorphic function in some open neighbourhood of each of the points $y \in \phi^{-1}(x)$ such that $(f_x \circ \phi)(x) = f_x(x) = 0$. f is meromorphic for all $y \in Y$, we find a positive k such that $(f_x \circ \phi)^k f$ is holomorphic for $y \in \phi^{-1}(x)$.

Compose the above functions with s_i to get function in $U_x - \{x\}$. The function $f_x^k f_i$ are bounded on $U_x - \{x\}$. By Riemann removable singularity Theorem([1], Theorem 10.20), a_i 's extend to holomorphic functions on U_x . $A \in \mathcal{M}(X)[t]$. Now we see that over U , $(\phi^* A \circ s_i)(f \circ s_i) = A(f_i) = 0$. \square

Proof. Proof of Proposition 3.3.1

Take $x \in X - \phi(S)$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_k \in \phi^{-1}(x)$. We can find an $f \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ such that f is holomorphic at each of y_i and $f(y_i)$ are distinct. Also by previous lemma such f satisfies a polynomial $(\phi^* a_n) f^n + \dots + \phi^* a_0 = 0$ where $a_i \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Assuming that all a_i are holomorphic at x , the polynomial $a_n(x) f(y_i)^n + \dots a_0(x)$ has d roots which are the distinct $f(y_i)$.

If one of the a_i is not holomorphic at x , then consider an small neighbourhood around x . f is holomorphic on that neighbourhood and the neighbourhood doesn't contain images of branch points. So take one of the points in that neighbourhood where all a_i are holomorphic. We have $\mathcal{M}(Y) \cong \mathcal{M}(X)(f)$. \square

Now, the functor $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Y)$ is from the category of compact Riemann surfaces mapping onto a compact Riemann surface X via a holomorphic map to the category of finite etale algebra's over $\mathcal{M}(X)$.

Theorem 3.3.2. *The above defined functor induces an anti-equivalence between the category of finite Galois extension of the field $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and finite Galois branched covers of X having the same degree.*

Above theorem follows from the following result:

Proposition 3.3.2. *Let A be a finite etale algebra over $\mathcal{M}(X)$ where X is compact and connected Riemann surface. Then there exists a compact Riemann surface Y (that maps holomorphically onto X) such that there is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ and A as an $\mathcal{M}(X)$ -algebra.*

Proof. Consider a finite field extension $L|\mathcal{M}(X)$. Let α be the primitive element in L , generating L over $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and F be the minimal separable polynomial of α with degree d . Since F is separable, the ideal $\langle F, F' \rangle$ generates the whole ring $\mathcal{M}[t]$ ([DFon], Proposition 33, Chapter13). So there exists functions $P, Q \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $PF + PF' = 1$. The coefficients of F are in $\mathcal{M}(x)$, evaluate these coefficients at some point $x \in X$ and call the resulting polynomial F_x . F_x and F'_x can have a common zero only at the points in X where either A or B. Let S be a discrete closed set of the points in X that are the poles of the function F , A and B and $X' = X - S$. If $F_x(a) = 0$ then $F'_x(a) \neq 0$. So, for $x \in X'$, the polynomial $F_x \in C[t]$ has d distinct roots.

For an open set $U \subset X'$, let $\mathcal{F}(U)$ be the set of holomorphic functions f on U such that $F(f) = 0$. We claim that \mathcal{F} is a locally constant sheaf with stalks of cardinality d . By implicit function theorem ([PG78], pg.19), for a point $x \in X'$ and a root a_i of polynomial $F_x \in C[t]$, $F'_x(a_i) \neq 0$ implies that there exists functions f_i in a neighbourhood of x such that $f_i(x) = a_i$ and $F(f_i) = 0$. So we have d such functions f_i , each corresponding to d roots of F_x . The polynomial F of degree d is a product of polynomials $(t - f_i)$, so the sheaf \mathcal{F} cannot have more than d sections. So over a connected open subset $V \subset X'$, \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to finite set of functions f_1, \dots, f_d .

We know that category of locally constant sheaves over X is equivalent to the category of covers over X . So there exists a cover $p_{\mathcal{F}} : X'_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow X'$ of X' . By a previous proposition 3.2.9, for each connected component of the cover $X'_{\mathcal{F}}$ we get a compact Riemann surface Y_j . Now we have to show that $X'_{\mathcal{F}}$ is connected which means that we get only one Riemann surface. To see that, define a function f on $X'_{\mathcal{F}}$ by $f(f_i) = f_i(p_{\mathcal{F}}(f_i))$. We have seen in a proof of previous proposition that f extends to a meromorphic function on each Y_j and moreover $f \in \mathcal{M}(Y_j)$ has a minimal polynomial H over $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of degree d_j , where degree d_j is the cardinality of the fibres of cover of one of the connected components. Now as assumed in the beginning $F(f) = 0$, so G must divide F , but since F is irreducible, $F = G$ and hence $d_j = d$. So there is only one connected compact Riemann surface, denote it by Y . By the map $f \mapsto \alpha$ and the equality of degrees of the two field extension of $\mathcal{M}(X)$, we have $L = \mathcal{M}(Y)$. \square

The above theorem along with a previous theorem gives the following corollary:

Corollary 3.3.1. *For a compact and connected Riemann surface X , the category of compact Riemann surfaces mapping holomorphically onto X is equivalent to the category of finite sets quipped with continuous left action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{M}(X)}|\mathcal{M}(X))$.*

Now we consider the case when $X = \mathbb{CP}^1$ in Theorem 3.3.2:

Proposition 3.3.3. *There exists a holomorphic map $Y \mapsto \mathbb{CP}^1$ which is not constant, where Y is compact and connected Riemann surface. As a result, $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ becomes a finite field extension of $\mathbb{C}(t)$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ contains a non-constant meromorphic function f by Theorem 3.3.1. Define a function $\rho_f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ by

$$\rho_f(y) = \begin{cases} f(y) & y \text{ is not a pole of } f \\ \infty & y \text{ is a pole of } f \end{cases}$$

We need to show that ρ_f is holomorphic. Consider complex charts in a neighbourhood of point $y \in Y$ given by $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that f is holomorphic on $U - \{y\}$ and two complex charts given on \mathbb{CP}^1 are z and $\frac{1}{z}$ as defined in the beginning of the chapter.

Now if f does not have a pole at y , then the function $z \circ \rho_f \circ g^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $g(U)$. If f has a pole at y , then the function $\frac{1}{z} \circ \rho_f \circ g^{-1}$ maps $g(U - \{y\})$ onto an open subset around 0 of \mathbb{C} and by Riemann's removable singularity theorem ([Rudon], Theorem 10.20), the function extends to holomorphic function on $g(U)$. So ρ_f is holomorphic.

From the result [Gar], Claim[3.0.1], it follows that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong \mathbb{C}(t)$. And from Proposition 3.3.1, it follows that $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{C}(t)$. \square

3.4 The absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{C}(t)$

Theorem 3.4.1. *Consider a connected and compact Riemann surface X and denote the complement of finite set of points by X' . Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}(X)}$ of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and take the compositum of all finite sub extension fields in this closure that are*

coming from holomorphic maps $Y \rightarrow X$ of compact and connected Riemann surfaces restricting to a cover over X' . Call this composite as $K_{X'}$. $K_{X'}|\mathcal{M}(X)$ is Galois field extension and there is an isomorphism $\text{Gal}(K_{X'}|\mathcal{M}(X)) \cong \widehat{\pi_1(X', x)}$, for some $x \in X'$.

The above theorem uses the following result.

Lemma 3.4.1. *A compact and connected Riemann surface which restricts to a cover over X' as above gives rise to a finite sub extension of $K_{X'}|\mathcal{M}(X)$.*

Proof. Proof of Theorem 3.4.1

Every finite field extension $L|\mathcal{M}(X)$ comes from a Riemann surface that restricts to a cover over X' and is Galois over $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Similarly it holds for all the Galois conjugates of L and since the composite of Galois extensions is Galois, then $K_{X'}|\mathcal{M}(X)$ is Galois.

By a result([Sza], corollary 2.3.9) coset spaces of normal subgroups of $\widehat{\pi_1(X', x)}$ correspond to finite Galois covers of X' . By Proposition 3.2.3, the finite Galois covers over X' correspond to finite Galois branched covers over X and by Theorem 3.3.2 these finite Galois branched covers correspond to finite Galois extensions of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ which are the sub extension of $K_{X'}|\mathcal{M}(X)$. This gives a bijection between finite quotients of $\widehat{\pi_1(X', x)}$ and $\text{Gal}(K_{X'}|\mathcal{M}(X))$. \square

Remark 3.1. *The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{M}(X)}|\mathcal{M}(X))$ is isomorphic to the inverse limit of system of groups $\text{Gal}(K_{X'}|\mathcal{M}(X))$ such that for $X' \subset X''$, we have the inclusion $K_{X'} \supset K_{X''}$. This is because finite sub extension fields of closure of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ are precisely $K_{X'}$.*

Consider the the complex projective line, $X = \mathbb{CP}^1$ and a finite set of points x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathbb{CP}^1 . The fundamental group of complement of these finite set of points in \mathbb{CP}^1 can be presented as follows

$\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^1 - \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, x) = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n | \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_n = 1 \rangle$ where each γ_i is a generator which is a closed path through the point x and is around the points x_i . The maps $\gamma_i \mapsto f_i \in F_{n-1}$ and $\gamma_n \mapsto (f_1 f_2 \dots f_{n-1})^{-1}$ gives an isomorphism between the above group and the free group F_{n-1} having $n-1$ generators, here f_i are free generators of the group F_{n-1} . So we conclude that every finite group has a finite presentation and it arises as finite quotient of $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^1 - \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, x)$ and we know that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong \mathbb{C}(t)$, we have the following result coming from above theorem:

Corollary 3.4.1. *Every finite group arises in correspondence to Galois group of some finite extension $L|\mathbb{C}(t)$*

Definition 3.4.1. *Take a set A and let $F(A)$ be the free group having basis A . Form the quotients of the free group $F(A)/U$ by the normal subgroups U of the free group having finite index which contains all points of X except some finite points. The inverse limit of this system is called the free profinite group and is denoted by $\widehat{F}(A)$*

We define the inclusion $i : X \rightarrow \widehat{F}(A)$ with a universal property that suppose we have a profinite group G and a mapping $\tau : A \rightarrow G$, such that all normal subgroups having a finite index in G and they contain all the points of $\lambda(A)$ except some finite points, then there exists a unique mapping $\tau_F : \widehat{F}(A) \rightarrow G$ between profinite groups such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\quad i \quad} & \widehat{F}(A) \\ \tau \downarrow & \swarrow \tau_F & \\ G & & \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.4.2. *There is an isomorphism of profinite groups $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{C}(t)}|\mathbb{C}(t)) \cong \widehat{F}(\mathbb{C})$.*

The proof of the theorem uses the following group-theoretic result:

Proposition 3.4.1. *Let X be a set and consider a system of finite subsets denoted by \mathcal{S} where $S \subset X$ and it is given a partial ordering by inclusion. Consider an inverse system of profinite groups (G_S, τ_{SR}) where \mathcal{S} is the indexing set which satisfies:*

1. *The homomorphisms τ_{SR} are surjective for subsets S of R .*

2. *Each profinite group G_S consists of system $z_x : x \in S$ of elements such that the map $\widehat{F}(S) \rightarrow G_S$ coming from $x \rightarrow z_x$ gives an isomorphism between $\widehat{F}(S)$ and G_S , and also for all subsets $S \subset R$ we have $\lambda_{SR}(z_x) = 1$ for $x \in R - S$.*

There is an isomorphism between $\varprojlim G_S$ and $\widehat{F}(X)$.

Proof. Let S be a set of points in C which is finite. Denote $\mathbb{C}P^1 - (S \cup \infty)$ by X_S . $\text{Gal}(K_{X_S}|\mathbb{C}(t))$ is a quotient of the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{C}(t)}|\mathbb{C}(t))$ and it is isomorphic to free profinite group generated by $|S|$ generators γ_a for each $a \in S$. Now

if we have subset R of \mathbb{C} such that S is subset of R , then we get an inclusion of Galois extensions $K_{X_S} \subset K_{X_R}$ where $X_R = P^1 - (R \cup \infty)$. We have seen in infinite Galois theory that there is a surjection $\tau_{SR} : Gal(K_{X_R}|\mathbb{C}(t)) \rightarrow Gal(K_{X_S}|\mathbb{C}(t))$. By Theorem given in the starting of this section, this map is induced by the map of fundamental groups $\pi_1(X_R, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_S, x)$ for some point x . For each $a \in R - S$, $\tau_{SR}(\gamma_a) = 1$. So we get an inverse system where indexing set consists of finite subsets of \mathbb{C} . We have seen that every finite sub extension of $\overline{\mathbb{C}(t)}$ lies in K_{X_S} for some suitable subset S , so the inverse limit is of above system is $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{C}(t)}|\mathbb{C}(t))$. The theorem follows from above proposition. \square

Bibliography

- [DFon] Dummit and Foote, *Abstract algebra*, Third edition.
- [For81] Otto Forster, *Lectures on riemann surface*.
- [Gar] Paul Garrett, *Notes on riemann sphere*, www-users.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/complex/notes_2014.../06_Riemann_sphere.pdf.
- [Lanon] Serge Lang, *Algebra*, Third edition.
- [PG78] Joseph Harris Phillip Griffiths, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, 1978.
- [Rudon] Walter Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, Third edition.
- [Sza] Tamas Szamuely, *Galois groups and fundamental groups*.